Doc

SIGLI-64414

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

OIPE 4253

TRANSMITTAL Filing Date First Named Inv

3

Application Number 10/6111,696

Filing Date July 1, 2003

First Named Inventor Budimir Drakulic

Art Unit 3736

Examiner Name SMITH, Terri L.

Attorney Docket Number SIGLI-64414

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s)	After Allowance Communication to TC
Fee Attached	Licensing-related Papers	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
Amendment / Reply	Petition	Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	Proprietary Information
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address	Status Letter
Extension of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
Express Abandonment Request	Request for Refund	Response to Restriction Requirement Under 35 U.S.C. Sec. 121; Postcard
Information Disclosure Statement	CD, Number of CD(s)	
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Landscape Table on CD	
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	Remarks	
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	·	
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT		
Firm Name FULWIDER PATTON LLP		
Signature		
Printed name CRAIG B. BAILEY		
Date May 11, 2006	Reg	. No. 28,786
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING		

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the

Signature

Typed or printed name CRAIG B. BAILEY

Date May 11, 2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AMENDMENT, Commissioner for Patents

P. O-Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on May 11, 2006.

Craig B. Bailey, Reg. No. 28,786



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.

: 10/611,696

Applicant

: Budimir Drakulic

Filed

: July 1, 2003

Title

: AMPLIFIED SYSTEM FOR DETERMINING PARAMETERS

OF A PATIENT

Art Unit

: 3736

Examiner

: SMITH, Terri L.

Docket No.:

: SIGLI-64414

Confirmation No. : 4436

Customer No.

: 24201

Mail Stop AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 121

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action of April 11, 2006, the Applicant provisionally elects Group I, claims 1-40, with traverse.

The Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the restriction requirement.

The MPEP expressly provides that to support a restriction requirement, it is not enough merely to show two-way distinctiveness. In addition, the Examiner also must show reasons for insisting on restriction. MPEP 806.05(c).

To establish reasons for insisting upon restriction, the burden is on the Examiner to explain why there would be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction is not required. MPEP 808.02.

In this case, the Examiner has alleged the existence of "recognized divergent subject matter" as the reason for insisting upon restriction. However, the Examiner has provided no evidence to support that allegation, such as patents or separate fields of search, as required by MPEP 808.02. Thus, the restriction requirement is not supported and should be withdrawn.

If the restriction requirement is not withdrawn, the Applicant reserves the right to file divisional applications for the non-elected group.

Should the Examiner have any questions concerning this amendment, Applicant's request the Examiner to contact the Applicant's attorney, Craig B. Bailey, at 310-824-5555. Voicemail messages can be left at the same telephone number.

Date: May 11, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

FULWIDER PATTON LLP

By:

Craig B. Bailey

Registration No. 28,786

CBB:jc Enclosure

Howard Hughes Center 6060 Center Drive, Tenth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90045 Telephone: (310) 824-5555 Facsimile: (310) 824-9696

Customer No. 24201